1	BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP				
2	DONN P. PICKETT (SBN 72257) FRANK M. HINMAN (SBN 157402)				
	SUJAL J. SHAH (SBN 215230)				
3	SUSAN J. WELCH (SBN 232620) FRANK BUSCH (SBN 258288)				
4	Three Embarcadero Center				
5	San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 Telephone: 415.393.2000				
6	Facsimile: 415.393.2286 donn.pickett@bingham.com				
	frank.hinman@bingham.com				
7	sujal.shah@bingham.com susan.welch@bingham.com				
8	frank.busch@bingham.com				
9	Attorneys for Defendant Intel Corporation				
10	•	EC DICTRICT COLUDT			
11	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT			
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
12	SAN JOSE DIVISION				
13					
14	IN RE: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE	Master Docket No. 11-CV-2509-LHK			
15	ANTITRUST LITIGATION				
16	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	DECLARATION OF FRANK BUSCH IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' JOINT			
17	ALL ACTIONS	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL			
18					
19		Date Consolidated Amended Compl. Filed: September 13, 2011			
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
		Master Docket No. 11-CV-2509-LHK			

1	I, Frank Busch, declare:				
2	1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of California, and an				
3	associate at the firm of Bingham McCutchen LLP, attorneys for Defendant Intel Corporation				
4	("Intel"). I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, except those matters stated on				
5	information and belief. On behalf of Intel, I make this declaration pursuant to Civil Local Rule				
6	79-5 and 7-11(a) to demonstrate that good cause exists for the documents described below to				
7	remain under seal. I make this declaration in support of Defendants' Joint Administrative				
8	Motion to Seal, filed concurrently with this Declaration. If called and sworn as a witness, I could				
9	and would competently testify to the matters stated below.				
10	The Intel Confidential Information That Should Be Sealed				
11	2. I have reviewed: (1) Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Joint Administrative Motion				
12	for Leave to Supplement the Record in Support of their Opposition to the Motion for Class				
13	Certification and Motion to Strike Dr. Leamer's Expert Report ("Opp."), filed by Plaintiffs on				
14	January 14, 2013 (Dkt. 270); and (2) the Declaration of Dr. Edward E. Leamer in Opposition to				
15	Defendants' Administrative Motion ("Leamer Opp. Decl."), and exhibits thereto, filed by				
16	Plaintiffs on January 14, 2013 (Dkt. 270-1). As described below, these documents quote from				
17	and reference Intel's employee compensation data, which is designated "CONFIDENTIAL -				
18	ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" ("AEO") pursuant to the Protective Order in this Action (Dkt.				
19	107).				
20	3. The types of information reflected in the data at issue here are similar to the types				
21	described in the Declaration of Tina M. Evangelista in Support of Plaintiffs' Administrative				
22	Motion to File under Seal Plaintiffs' Notice of Motion and Motion for Class Certification, and				
23	Memorandum of Law in Support, filed on October 9, 2012 (Dkt. 203) ("2012 Evangelista				
24	Declaration"). The relevant information is:				
25	Compensation Data				
26	• The documents referenced above in paragraph 2 rely upon certain compensation				
27	and recruiting data produced by Intel in this action. All referenced data is				
28	designated AEO. 1 Master Docket No. 11-CV-2509-LHK				
	1 Master Docket No. 11-CV-2509-LHK				

The Reasons For Sealing The Redacted Information		
4.	According to the 2012 Evangelista Declaration, and because the types of	
information of	contained in redacted portions of the above-referenced documents are similar to the	
types of information described therein, the following statements support the motion to seal:		
5.	The Intel data described above contains information regarding confidential	
business practices that gives Intel a competitive advantage in recruiting, retaining and		
compensating its employees.		
6.	Intel derives independent economic value from the strategic information, or raw	
data, contained in this compensation information not being generally known to the public or to		
other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use.		
7.	It is Intel's practice to treat this type of data as confidential, and not to disclose it	
outside the c	ompany. I am informed and believe that Intel has taken reasonable steps to ensure	
that this data remains confidential, including designating it AEO pursuant to the Protective Order		
filed in this Action.		
8.	Specifically, Intel seeks to seal the following Intel confidential, commercially	
sensitive information in the documents described above:		
• 0	Opp. at page 5 lines 6-11 reflects Intel's compensation data. In particular, the	
re	edacted information describes changes in salary over time for specific groups of Intel	
eı	mployees.	
• L	eamer Opp. Decl. at Exhibit A pages 3, 4, 7, and 8 ¹ reflects Intel's compensation	
d	ata. In particular, the redacted figures list specific annual compensation, total	
CO	ompensation, and positions for a number of Intel employees between 2007 and 2011.	
	The Particularized Harm Disclosure Would Cause	
9.	According to the 2012 Evangelista Declaration, and because the types of	
information	redacted from the above-referenced documents are similar to the types of	

1	information described therein, Intel would suffer the following particularized harm if the		
2	redacted information is disclosed to the public. The public disclosure of the Intel confidential		
3	information contained in Intel's data described above, and reflected in the papers identified in		
4	paragraph 2, would put Intel at a significant competitive disadvantage in terms of its ability to		
5	identify, recruit, and compensate its employees. Public disclosure of Intel's detailed		
6	compensation data would also give those other companies an unearned advantage by giving them		
7	the benefit of Intel's compensation strategies, compensation levels, and other related		
8	information.		
9	10. Because this information cannot be disclosed to the public without causing this		
10	harm, it should be protected by redacting it and each reference to it from public filings.		
11	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed		
12	in San Francisco, California on January 22, 2013.		
13			
14	/s/ Frank Busch		
15	Frank Busch		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	2		